REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE ESTILL COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2003 TAXES

June 21, 2004



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

105 SEA HERO ROAD, SUITE 2 FRANKFORT, KY 40601-5404 TELEPHONE 502.573.0050 FACSIMILE 502.573.0067



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Ernie Fletcher, Governor
Robbie Rudolph, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Wallace Taylor, Estill County Judge/Executive
Honorable Gary Freeman, Estill County Sheriff
Members of the Estill County Fiscal Court

The enclosed report prepared by Ross & Company, PLLC, Certified Public Accountants, presents the Estill County Sheriff's Settlement - 2003 Taxes as of June 21, 2004.

We engaged Ross & Company, PLLC to perform the financial audit of this statement. We worked closely with the firm during our report review process; Ross & Company, PLLC evaluated the Estill County Sheriff's internal controls and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

Enclosure



REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE ESTILL COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2003 TAXES

June 21, 2004

ROSS & COMPANY, PLLC Certified Public Accountants

800 Envoy Circle Louisville, KY Telephone (502) 499-9088 Facsimile (502) 499-9132

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE ESTILL COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2003 TAXES

June 21, 2004

Ross & Company, PLLC has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2003 Taxes for Estill County Sheriff as of June 21, 2004. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The Sheriff collected taxes of \$2,708,145 for the districts for 2003 taxes, retaining commissions of \$109,364 to operate the Sheriff's office. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$2,587,984 to the districts for 2003 Taxes. Taxes of \$4,575 are due to the districts from the Sheriff and refunds of \$3 are due to the Sheriff from the taxing districts.

Report Comment:

• Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT – 2003 TAXES	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	5
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	9
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	13

ROSS & COMPANY, PLLC Certified Public Accountants

800 Envoy Circle Louisville, KY Telephone (502) 499-9088 Facsimile (502) 499-9132

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Ernie Fletcher, Governor
Robbie Rudolph, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Wallace Taylor, Estill County Judge/Executive
Honorable Gary Freeman, Estill County Sheriff
Members of the Estill County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the Estill County Sheriff's Settlement - 2003 Taxes as of June 21, 2004. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the Estill County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Estill County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid as of June 21, 2004, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated January 31, 2005, on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing</u> Standards and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Ernie Fletcher, Governor
Robbie Rudolph, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Wallace Taylor, Estill County Judge/Executive
Honorable Gary Freeman, Estill County Sheriff
Members of the Estill County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we present the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

• Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Ross & Company, PLLC

Audit fieldwork completed - January 31, 2005

ESTILL COUNTY GARY FREEMAN, COUNTY SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2003 TAXES

June 21, 2004

				Special				
<u>Charges</u>	Cou	inty Taxes	Taxi	ng Districts	Sc	hool Taxes	Sta	ite Taxes
Real Estate	\$	211,214	\$	721,749	\$	1,095,826	\$	330,953
Tangible Personal Property	Ψ	11,524	Ψ	36,821	Ψ	48,618	Ψ	35,533
Intangible Personal Property		,		,		,		10,724
Fire Protection		2,303						- 7-
Increases Through Exonerations		39		133		203		61
Franchise Corporation		45,617		142,050		204,787		
Additional Billings		499		1,442		2,397		837
Oil and Gas Property Taxes		175		596		906		273
Limestone, Sand, and Mineral Reserves		13		43		66		20
Penalties		2,359		7,954		12,026		3,961
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt		(59)		(210)		(336)		(112)
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff	\$	273,684	\$	910,578	\$	1,364,493	\$	382,250
Credits								
Exonerations	\$	3,948	\$	13,459	\$	20,464	\$	6,204
Discounts		2,968		9,991		15,061		5,007
Delinquents:								
Real Estate		12,984		43,882		66,731		20,125
Tangible Personal Property		64		205		271		279
Additional Billings		105		289		505		170
Additional Billings Exonerations		14		40		72		22
Total Credits	\$	20,083	\$	67,866	\$	103,104	\$	31,807
Taxes Collected	\$	253,601	\$	842,712	\$	1,261,389	\$	350,443
Less: Commissions *		11,066		35,815		47,302		15,181
T D	¢.	242.525	Φ	906 907	¢	1 01 4 007	Ф	225 272
Taxes Due	\$	242,535	\$	806,897	\$	1,214,087	\$	335,262
Taxes Paid Refunde (Current and Prior Veer)		241,972		800,452		1,211,180		334,380
Refunds (Current and Prior Year)		562		1,877		2,905		881
Due Districts				**				
as of Completion of Fieldwork	\$	1	\$	4,568	\$	2	\$	1

* and ** See Page 4

ESTILL COUNTY GARY FREEMAN, COUNTY SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2003 TAXES June 21, 2004 (Continued)

* Commissions:

10% on \$ 10,000 4.25% on \$ 1,436,756 3.75% on \$ 1,261,389

** Special Taxing Districts:

Library District	\$ (1)
Health District	(2)
Extension District	4,570
Soil Conservation District	1
Due Districts or (Refunds Due Sheriff)	\$ 4,568

ESTILL COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

June 21, 2004

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of June 21, 2004, the Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name.

ESTILL COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT June 21, 2004 (Continued)

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2003. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 2003. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was October 9, 2003 through April 30, 2004.

Note 4. Interest Income

The Estill County Sheriff earned \$668 as interest income on 2003 taxes. As of November 17, 2004, the Sheriff owes \$44 in interest to the school district and \$97 in interest to his fee account.

Note 5. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Estill County Sheriff collected \$21,169 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.430(3). This amount will be used to operate the Sheriff's office.

Note 6. Advertising Costs And Fees

The Estill County Sheriff collected \$1,546 of advertising costs and \$2,475 of advertising fees allowed by KRS 424.330(1) and KRS 134.440(2). The Sheriff distributed the advertising costs to the county as required by statute, and the advertising fees will be used to operate the Sheriff's office.



ESTILL COUNTY GARY FREEMAN, COUNTY SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

As of June 21, 2004

INTERNAL CONTROL – REPORTABLE CONDITION:

Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

The Sheriff's office has a lack of segregation of duties. Due to the diversity of operations, small size and budget restrictions the official has limited options for establishing an adequate segregation of duties. The Sheriff has primarily assigned the bookkeepers to perform all of the accounting functions in the office. We recommend that the Sheriff periodically review this work in order to create compensating controls to offset this internal control weakness. Examples of compensating controls are: 1.) Comparing source documents to the receipts and disbursements ledgers and to the monthly tax reports; 2.) Having deposits compared to the receipts ledger and bank statements; and 3.) Comparing checks to monthly tax reports.

Sheriff's Response:

None

PRIOR YEAR:

Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

This comment was not corrected and is repeated in the current year.



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

ROSS & COMPANY, PLLC Certified Public Accountants

800 Envoy Circle Louisville, KY Telephone (502) 499-9088 Facsimile (502) 499-9132

The Honorable Wallace Taylor, Estill County Judge/Executive The Honorable Gary Freeman, Estill County Sheriff Members of the Estill County Fiscal Court

> Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Estill County Sheriff's Settlement - 2003 Taxes as of June 21, 2004, and have issued our report thereon dated January 31, 2005. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Estill County Sheriff's Settlement - 2003 Taxes as of June 21, 2004 is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Estill County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted a certain matter involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. The reportable condition is described in the accompanying comment and recommendation.

• Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Continued)

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe the reportable condition described above is a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Ross & Company, PLLC

Audit fieldwork completed - January 31, 2005